

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/566,319	05/10/2007	Kevin John Adams	WATE-0014	1925	
23377 WOODCOCK	7590 09/13/201: WASHBURN LLP		EXAMINER		
CIRA CENTR	E, 12TH FLOOR		JACOBSON, MICHELE LYNN		
2929 ARCH S PHILADELPI	TREET IIA, PA 19104-2891		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1782		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/13/2011	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail $\,$ address(es):

eofficemonitor@woodcock.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/566,319	ADAMS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Michele L. Jacobson	1782		

	Michele L. Jacobson	1782					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 01 September 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe 	he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this pplication, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the pplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request or Continued Examination (RGE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date wave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period civil under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any pely received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropri- inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
College AFFEAR A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	cause				
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal, and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: See Continuation Sheet (See 37 CFR 1.1 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 5. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s)would be all non-allowable claim(s). 7. ☒ For purposes of appeat, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.3.6.5-10.12.14.16-18 and 20-29. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDANT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidative ro other evidence filed after a final action, but	isideration and/or search (see NOT wh); er form for appeal by materially recorresponding number of finally rejet 6 and 41.33(a)). 21. See attached Notice of Non-Coowable if submitted in a separate, to will not be entered, or b) will didd below or appended.	TE below); ducing or simplifying the acted claims. mpliant Amendment (timely filed amendment II be entered and an electric of Appeal will not below to the action of the	PTOL-324). Int canceling the explanation of				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing	ŕ						
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	or the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ea.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Rena L. Dye/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1782	/M. L. J./ Examiner Art Unit 1782						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to independent claims 1 and 24 to recite "a layer consisting of a woven polymer mesh" presents a limitation not previously considered which would require further search and consideration.